

Please find below area of concern that despite requesting clarity these areas have not been satisfied.

These are crucial in satisfying the public's concerns, supporting the performance targets of the proposed project and ensuring the exhortant can have true facts rather than inflated predictions to provide a balanced view.

Whilst I accept and support moving towards net zero one must not lose sight of the genuine contribution this plan brings versus the effect on the people of Lincolnshire.

1, What will the solar farm actually produce? Currently there are no definitive answers on this.

2, Life span - 60 years, the panels will never last this long and will need replacing numerous times during this period.

3, The land - what condition will the land be in after the period, as I understand it will not be fit to grow crops

4, Flooding - this is becoming a regular "winter issue" the development is on the flood plan, the panels will lead to poor dispersment of water adding to the issue, the last few weeks has seen the area yet again flooded.

5, Health - no due diligence at all, this is a serious matter that is not being taken seriously.

6, The communities welfare / wellbeing - no due diligence here also. Do the communities have a future? Population decline, public services property price collapse, no younger generation remain?

7, The combinative effect on the landscape - The view from the Jurassic Cliff across into Nottinghamshire will be lost. Their representations on this subject display that there is no concerns!

8, Safety - the level of planning for fire, evacuation is woeful. Battery fires are becoming a regular event yet no major fire plan. Looking at the battery locations how are the emergency services going to fast access?

How is a battery fire contaminants going to be secured or is the cooling water just going to be soaked into the land - river - sea - food chain?

9, Wildlife - they have told me that its inevitable that the wildlife natural habitat will be lost and therefore die off! Is this accepted as a cost of the scheme?

10, Food production another area that is being disregarded, the country each year becomes more reliant on imported food goods. The government is expressing serious concerns about food provision this is only going to add to the already poor position we are in.

11, The land, let's forget what its status is on the basis of 50 year old data. Its about what its yield production is, this land is very fertile due to the level of clay within the substrate. In times of drought none clay based fields produce less not the case here! Clay withholds moisture allowing the crops to flourish at all times.

12, 60 years on what is left? [REDACTED], what are we leaving to future generations? There is no details on the decommissioning costs or where liability will lay or guarantees. The cost of clean up will have to be met by the government of the day and therefore our children's children.

13, Lincolnshire and the local community have ties into the tourist industry. From a local focus this will disappear and have knock on effect across the county.

To Summarise.

The applicant in my humble opinion has failed to satisfy the basics of this application. They tell us they have provided the level of information that is required as if a tick-box exercise and are unwilling to provide details on a drill down basis, I wonder why!

Whilst I accept this is a single application the fact there are 4 other developments joining this one makes the conditions amplified even further in the areas I have detailed above.

Thank you for taking the time in reading my submission and I trust the points I have raised will be considered.

Andy Johnson